#### 6.895 Randomness and Computation

February 13, 2006

Lecture 2

Lecturer: Ronitt Rubinfeld

Scribe: Iolanthe Chronis

Lecture Outline:

- Linearity Testing (Introduction)
- Observation about distributions
- Self-correcting programs
  - union bound
  - Chernoff bound
  - indicator variables
  - linearity of expectations
- Proposed test for linearity
  - sampling claim review "gap" bound
  - Coppersmith's example
  - proof of correctness and review of Markov's inequality (we didn't get to this)

### 1 Linearity Testing – An Introduction

Let G be a finite abelian group (ie  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ ). The theorems that we will prove today work for arbitrary finite groups, but our proofs may not.

**Definition 1** A function  $f: G \to G$  is linear (homomorphic) if  $\forall x, y \in G$ , f(x) + f(y) = f(x+y).

Examples of linear functions:

$$f(x) = x \tag{1}$$

$$f(x) = 3x \pmod{l} \tag{2}$$

Problem: Can we tell if an arbitrary function f is linear? The function f is a black box. We know nothing about its internal structure; all we can do is query it, meaning we can pass in a value of x and get out a value of f(x). To find out whether f is linear, we need to query every single value in the domain. If we do not, the following situation can occur:

$$f(x) = x \text{ for } x \neq 3, f(3) = 2$$
 (3)

There's no way to know that f isn't linear unless we query x = 3 itself.

**Definition 2** A function f is called  $\epsilon$ -linear if there exists a function g s.t. g is linear and the following equation is true:

$$\frac{number of x's \ s.t.f(x) = g(x)}{number of x's} = Pr_{x \in G}[f(x) = g(x)] \ge 1 - \epsilon \tag{4}$$

In the following, we will discuss how to test that f is  $\epsilon$ -linear without testing all of the values in the domain.

### 2 An Observation About Distributions

If G is a finite group,

$$\forall a, y, \in G \Pr_x[y = a + x] = 1/|G| \tag{5}$$

**Remark** If we pick  $x \epsilon_R G$  then  $a + x \epsilon_R G$ . We use this notation to denote that a + x is distributed uniformly in G.

Since G is a group, inverses exist, so y - a is a member of G, and only x = y - a satisfies the equation y = a + x.

**Remark** If G is  $Z_2^n$ , addition is defined in the following manner:

$$(a_1, ..., a_n) + (b_1, ..., b_n) = (a_1 + b_1, ..., a_n + b_n)$$
(6)

## 3 Self-Correcting Programs

Say that f is the function described above that is linear except at x = 3. We can define a linear function g such that g(i) = f(i) for all  $i \neq 3$ , and g(3) = f(1) + f(2), or g(3) = f(4) - f(1), or in general, g(3) = g(x) + g(3 - x) for any  $x \in G$  except for x = 0 or x = 3. This allows us to correct 1 error, but we can extend this to correct more as follows.

For a general  $\epsilon$ -linear f where  $\epsilon \leq 1/8$ , let g be the closest linear function to f (the following shows that when  $\epsilon \leq 1/8$ , g is unique). If we pick y randomly from G, what is the probability that g(x) = f(y) + f(x-y)?

Let cond (1) be  $f(y) \neq g(y)$  (and  $Pr_y[\text{cond }(1)] \leq \epsilon$ ) Let cond (2) be  $f(x-y) \neq g(x-y)$  (and  $(Pr_{x,y}[\text{cond }(2)] \leq \epsilon)$  $\Pr_{x,y}[\text{cond }(1) \text{ or cond}(2)] \leq 2\epsilon$  by the union bound We have that  $\forall x, y, g(x) = g(y) + g(x-y)$ Therefore  $\Pr_{x,y}[g(x) = f(y) + f(x-y)] \geq 1 - 2\epsilon \geq 3/4$ 

Using this bound, we can use the following algorithm to find the appropriate values for g(x) using other parts of f.

Self-Corrector(x): For i = 1...rPick y randomly from G  $answer_i \leftarrow f(y) + f(x - y)$  $output = most \text{ common } answer_i$ 

**Theorem 3**  $Pr[output = g(x)] \ge 1 - \beta$  (for proper choice of constants.)

**Proof** Let's define a random indicator variable  $\sigma_i = 1$  if  $answer_i = g(x)$ , and 0 otherwise. Thus, the expected value of  $\sigma_1$  is just the probability that  $answer_i = g(x)$ . In other words,

$$\begin{split} E[\sigma_i] &= \Pr[\sigma_i = 1] \ge 3/4\\ \text{If } \Sigma \sigma_i > r/2 \text{ then } output = g(x)\\ E[\Sigma \sigma_i] &= \Sigma E[\sigma_i] = 3r/4\\ \Pr[\sigma_i \le r/2] \le \Pr[|\frac{\Sigma \sigma_i}{r} - \frac{E[\Sigma \sigma_i]}{r}| \le 1/4] \end{split}$$

Now we can use a Chernoff bound. The mathematical statement of a Chernoff bound for an indicator variable  $\sigma_i$  with  $\Pr[\sigma_i] = p$  is<sup>1</sup>:

$$\Pr[|\frac{\Sigma\sigma_i}{r} - p| \le \epsilon p] \le 2e^{-rp\epsilon^2/3} \tag{7}$$

Here, p = 3/4 and  $\epsilon p = 1/4$ , so  $\epsilon = 1/3$ . Also, choose  $r = c(1/\beta)$ . Plug these values into the Chernoff bound formula:

$$2e^{-r(3/4)(1/9)/3} = 2e^{-r/36} = 2e^{-c/36\ln(1/\beta)} < \beta \text{ for } c < 36. \blacksquare$$

# 4 A Proposed Test of Linearity

Here's a proposed test of linearity: Repeat r times Pick  $x, y \in_R G$ 

If  $f(x) + f(y) \neq f(x+y)$  output "fail" Output "pass"

However, this example due to Coppersmith shows that a function can pass for most choices of x and y, but still be far from linear.

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \\ 0 & \text{if } x \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \\ -1 & \text{if } x \equiv 2 \pmod{3} \end{cases}$$

This function fails for  $x \equiv y \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$  and for  $x \equiv y \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ , but it passes for all other cases. Thus, it fails for only 2/9 of the possible choices of x and y. However, the closest linear function to f is the 0 function. The function f is only 0 in 1/3 of all cases, so f is 2/3-linear. It turns out that 2/9 is a type of threshold in that functions that pass the linearity test more than 7/9 of the time also are  $\epsilon$ -linear for a fairly small  $\epsilon$ . We will begin a proof of this idea at the end of this lecture, and finish the proof in the next lecture.

 $<sup>^1\</sup>mathrm{See}$  notes for better bounds

#### 4.1 Sampling Theorem

Theorem 4 There exists an algorithm s.t.

if f is linear, i.e.  $f(x) + f(y) = f(x+y) \forall x, y$ output "Pass" if f is s.t.  $\Pr[f(x) + f(y) \neq f(x+y)] > \delta$ output "Fail" with probability  $\geq 1 - \beta$ The runtime of this algorithm will be  $O(\frac{1}{\delta} \ln \frac{1}{\beta})$ 

Bounds of this type will be referred to in this course as "gap" sampling bounds. This is not a term sanctioned by the greater community, since we will do many sampling tasks of this type later. "Gap" bound proofs always work in a manner similar to the following, which is the proof of the above theorem.

**Remark**  $(1-x)^{1/x} \sim e^{-1}$ 

**Proof** Pr[output "Pass"]  $\leq (1-\delta)^r = 1 - \delta^{\frac{c}{\delta} \ln \frac{1}{\beta}} = e^{-c \ln 1/\beta} = \beta^{-c} < \beta$ 

Now we have shown that we can distinguish f's that are linear from those for which the test f(x) + f(y) = f(x + y) often fails (with probability higher than  $\delta$ . However, Coppersmith's example shows us that some f's that often pass that test (in the next lecture called "group law failure") are also very far from linear. However, for low enough  $\delta$ , we will prove that f is close to linear.

**Theorem 5** Assuming  $Pr[f(x) + f(y) \neq f(x+y)] < \delta$  and that G is a finite group,  $\delta < 2/9$  implies that f is  $\delta/2$ -linear.

Actually, we will prove this weaker version:

**Theorem 6** Assuming  $Pr[f(x) + f(y) \neq f(x+y)] < \delta$  and that G is a finite abelian group,  $\delta < 1/16$  implies that f is  $2\delta$ -linear.

**Definition 7** If  $g(x) = plurality_{y \in G}[f(x+y) - f(y)]$ . We'll refer to f(x+y) - f(y) as y's "vote".

**Definition 8** x is called  $\rho$ -good if  $Pr_y[g(x) = f(x+y) - f(y)] > 1 - \rho$ . Otherwise, x is called  $\rho$ -bad.

Note that if x is  $\rho$ -good for  $\rho < 1/2$  then there is a "majority agreement."

Claim 9 If  $\rho \leq 1/2$ ,  $Pr_x[x \text{ is } \rho\text{-good and } g(x) = f(x)] > 1 - \delta/\rho$ 

We will prove the claim, and the rest of the theorem, in the next lecture.