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In this lecture we present the relatively recent fundamental result of O. Reingold [3] which establishes that undirected st-connectivity can be decided in deterministic logarithmic space.
Given an undirected graph $G$, two vertices $s$ and $t$ in $G$, $\operatorname{USTCON}(G, s, t)$ is the problem of deciding whether there exists a path in $G$ connecting $s$ and $t$. The more difficult, directed graph version of this problem is known to be NL-complete, and thus in $L^{2}$ by Savitch's theorem. Prior to the Reingold result, USTCON was known to be in RL [1], and later ([2]) in $\log ^{4 / 3}$. Also, USTCON is a complete problem for the mysterious class SL (symmetric, non-deterministic, log space computations), and therefore USTCON $\in L$ implies $\mathrm{SL}=\mathrm{L}$.

We will start by introducing some notation and recalling some results from previous lectures/homeworks.

Definition $1 A(N, D, \lambda)$-graph is an undirected graph on $N$ vertices, of degree $D$, and with the second largest eigenvalue bounded above by $\lambda$.

The relationship between the second eigenvalue and that of vertex expansion is given in the following proposition.

Proposition 2 Let $\lambda<1$. Then $\exists \varepsilon>0$ s.t. for any $(N, D, \lambda)$-graph $G$, $\forall S \subset$ $V$, s.t. $|S|<\frac{N}{2}$ we have that $|N(S)| \geq(1+\varepsilon)|S|$. In this case we say that $G$ is an expander. Note: Elements of $S$ may be in $N(S)$

Corollary 3 If $\lambda<1$, then for every connected $\operatorname{graph}(N, D, \lambda)$, there exists a path of length $O(\log N)$ between any vertices s and $t$. In particular, $G$ has $O(\log N)$ diameter.

Proof By the vertex expansion property given in Proposition 2, it follows that starting at $s$ and successively following the neighboring sets for $l=O(\log N)$ steps we must have covered $>N / 2$ of the vertices. Repeating the process from vertex $t$, it must be the case that there is a vertex reached from both $s$ and $t$ in these $l$ steps.

For and expander graph $G$ of constant degree, one can now easily check st-connectivity in $\log N$ space as follows:

- Enumerate all $D^{l}$ paths starting at $s$ of length $l=O(\log N)$.
- If have reached node $t$ then output 'connected', else output 'not connected'.

Notice that the space requirement of the algorithm is $O(\log N \log D$, which is $O(\log N)$ for constant $D$. We will reduce the problem of checking st-connectivity in a general graph, to that of checking st- connectivity in an expander graph with constant degree $D$.

Observation: The results shown in this lecture do not apply to general directed graphs. However, for the special case of directed graphs with constant out-degree equal to the in-degree at each vertex, similar results do hold.

A key fact that will be relevant to the main proof states that the spectral gap ( $1-$ $\lambda(G))$ of any connected, non-bipartite graph $G$ is large enough, namely at least inverse polynomial in $|G|$, as described next.

Theorem 4 For any connected $D$-regular and non-bipartite graph $G$ the following holds

$$
\lambda(G) \leq 1-\frac{1}{D N^{2}}
$$

We further add to our toolkit a useful graph.
Theorem 5 There exist a constant $D_{e}$ and a $\left(D_{e}^{16}, D_{e}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$-graph .
Also, recall that the graph powering operation is a simple method of increasing the connectivity of a graph.

Proposition 6 (Graph powering) If $G$ is a $(N, D, \lambda)$-graph, then the power $G^{t}$ of $G$ is a ( $N, D^{t}, \lambda^{t}$ )-graph.

Observation: Notice that graph powering increases a lot the degree of the new graph. In particular, for a good enough $\lambda$ we will want $t=O(\log N)$, while we only aim for $t=$ constant. Reingold's proof does use manipulations of graphs using this operation. However, his main ingredient is a new operation (the zig-zag product) that will bring down the degree of the graph, while increasing $\lambda$ by only a small constant factor.

A simple example of a way to lower the degree of a graph is shown in the picture below, where a node is substituted by a cycle.


We next introduce two graph products that have the property that they reduce the degree of a graph while not increasing $\lambda$ by too much either.
Replacement product:
Given graph an $(N, D)$ graph $G$ and a $(D, d)$ graph $H$, the replacement product graph $G^{\prime}$ is obtained from $G$ and $H$ in the following way:

- Each node $v \in G$ is replaced by a copy of $H$, called $H_{v}$. Thus, there are $N D$ nodes in $G^{\prime}$.
- Each node $v^{\prime} \in H_{v}$ corresponds to an edge from $v \in G$. Therefore, $v^{\prime} \in H_{v}$ is adjacent to the $d$ nodes in $H_{v}$ and to a vertex in $H_{z}$, where $(v, z) \in E(G)$ and $v^{\prime}$ corresponds to $z$. Therefore, $v^{\prime}$ has degree $d+1 \ll D$.



## Zig-zag product:

The zig-zag product $G^{\prime \prime}$ of $G$ and $H$ (notation $G z H$ ), where $G$ is $D$-regular and has $N$ nodes and $H$ is $d$-regular and has $D$ nodes is constructed from the replacement product $G^{\prime}$ of $G$ and $H$ :

- $V\left(G^{\prime \prime}\right)=V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$.
- The edges of $G^{\prime \prime}$ are between vertices $x, w \in G^{\prime}$ that are connected by a path of length three, that starts in $x \in H_{v}$, takes a step $(x, y) \in E\left(H_{v}\right)$, then follows the edge $(y, z) \in G$, where $z \in H_{w}$, and finally, takes a step $(z, w) \in E\left(H_{w}\right)$. Therefore, the degree of each vertex is $d^{2}$.
Next we state one of the main tools of the proof, namely the relationship between the second eigenvalues of the graphs $G$ and $H$ to the second eigenvalue of the zig-zag product of $G$ and $H$.
Theorem 7 ([4]) Let $G$ be a $(N, D, \lambda)$-graph, and $H$ be a $(D, d, \alpha)$-graph. Then $G z H$ is a $\left(N D, d^{2}, f(\lambda, \alpha)\right)$-graph, where $f(\lambda, \alpha)=\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\alpha^{2}\right) \lambda+\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\left(1-\alpha^{2}\right)^{2} \lambda^{2}+4 \alpha^{2}}$.

In fact, a nicer form of this theorem will be enough for our purposes. The following corollary shows that the spectral gap of the zig-zag product is only larger by a small factor from the spectral gap of $G$.

Corollary 8 For $G, H$ as above,

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\alpha^{2}\right)(1-\lambda) \leq 1-\lambda(G z H)
$$

## Main Transformation:

Given: $G$ that is $D^{16}$-regular on $N$ vertices, and $H$ that is $D$-regular on $D^{16}$ vertices (given by Theorem 5),
Let $l$ be the smallest integer s.t. $\left(1-\frac{1}{D N^{2}}\right)^{2^{l}}<\frac{1}{2}$.
Let $G_{0} \leftarrow G$
Let $G_{i} \leftarrow\left(G_{i-1} z H\right)^{8}$
Output: $\tau(G, H)=G_{l}$.

We are now ready for the final construction of the expander graph that we are after. Observation: The number of nodes in $G_{l}$ is $N\left(D^{16}\right)^{l}=\operatorname{poly}(N)$, since $l=O(\log N)$.

Lemma 9 If $H$ is an expander, then $G_{l}$ is an expander. Equivalently, if $\lambda(H) \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and $G$ is connected and not bipartite, then $\lambda(\tau(G, H)) \leq \frac{1}{2}$.

Proof [Sketch] By Theorem 4 we have that $\lambda\left(G_{0}\right) \leq 1-\frac{1}{D N^{2}}$. Notice that it is enough to show inductively that $\left.\lambda\left(G_{i}\right) \leq \max \left\{\lambda\left(G_{i-1}\right)^{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)\right\}$. This will imply that, for $l=O(\log (N) \log (D))$ we have that $\lambda\left(G_{l}\right) \leq \max \left\{\lambda\left(G_{0}\right)^{2^{l}}, \frac{1}{2}\right\}<\frac{1}{2}$. To prove the induction hypothesis, notice that $\lambda(H) \leq \frac{1}{2}$. By Corollary 8, we have that $\lambda\left(G_{i-1} z H\right)<$ $1-1 / 3\left(\lambda\left(G_{i-1}\right)\right.$. Therefore, $\lambda\left(G_{i}\right)<\left(1-1 / 3\left(\lambda\left(G_{i-1}\right)\right)^{8}\right.$. The conclusion follows then by elementary calculations, by considering the cases $\lambda\left(G_{i-1}\right)<1 / 2$, and $\lambda\left(G_{i-1}\right) \geq 1 / 2$

We next present an overview of the actual $\log N$ space algorithm solving st-connectivity.

1. Preprocessing stage: make the graph $G D^{16}$ regular, preserving
non-bipartiteness, and the connected components. This can be done by the replacement product of $G$ with an $N$-cycle and then adding self-loops. Note that the number of nodes becomes $N^{2}$ but this operation is performed only once. Let $G_{e}$ be the resulting graph.
2. Use the transformation $\tau$ described before on the preprocessed graph $G_{e}$ and $H$ the expander given by Proposition 5.
3. Run the expander algorithm on $\tau\left(G_{e}, H\right)$.

The only tricky part that one needs to verify now is how the walks are performed in log space. The choice of representing graphs $G$ and $H$ as so-called rotation maps turns out to be fortunate. This representation implies that each edge is labeled at both its endpoints, which gives a way of tracing a path back from any position by only remembering a constant number of labels.

Definition 10 [3] For a $D$-regular undirected graph $G$, the rotation map $\operatorname{Rot}_{G}:[N] \times$ $[D] \rightarrow[N] \times[D]$ is defined as $\operatorname{Rot}_{G}(v, i)=(w, j)$ if the $i$ ' th edge incident to $v$ leads to $w$, and this edge is also the $j$ 'th edge incident to $w$.

It follows easily that given the rotation map of $G$ one can compute in $\log N$ space the rotation map of $G_{e}$. The heart of the problem is showing that the rotation map of $\tau\left(G_{e}, H\right)$ is computable in log space given the rotation maps of $G$ and $H$. The proof uses an inductive argument and elementary techniques, and we do not attempt it here.
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