

Course contents

- Classical resources: Time, Space, Non-determinism.
- Alternation & the Polynomial Hierarchy.
- Non-uniform complexity & Lower bounds.
- Randomness and its power.
- Proofs, Interaction, Knowledge.
- Quantum computation.

Complexity Theory

Basic iteration:

- Identify resource; pick a gross bound on resource.
- Find points = problems.
- Draw arrows (reductions). $A \rightarrow B$, or $A \leq B$ if A reduces to B .
- In some cases rule out arrows.

Hopefully, get a map of all computational problems and complexities involved.

Classically ...

Resources Time, Space, Non-determinism.

Stopping points Logarithmic, Polynomial, Exponential.

Reductions?

- Karp vs. Turing.
- Logspace vs. Polynomial time.

Turing reductions & Relativization

Definition: $L_1 \leq_T^p L_2$ if there exists a polynomial time Turing machine M that with access to an oracle for L_2 can solve the problem L_1 .

Languages vs. Problems Problems are general functions; Languages are Boolean functions. Turing reductions work generally. Their most powerful usage is to reduce general problems to languages.

Exercise Reduce SEARCH-SAT to SAT.

Relativization M above is an oracle Turing machine since it invokes an oracle O occasionally. Notation to describe this

duo: M^O . Here our focus was on what can O be used to do, when we vary M . In relativization, we often fix M (or the class it comes from) and vary O to see what can be done. Will see more next lecture.

Food for thought Why need Karp reductions? (Hint: two famed classes would be indistinguishable under Turing reductions.)

Classical classes

- Logarithmic space L .
-
- Polynomial time P .
-
-
- Polynomial space $PSPACE$.
- Exponential time E/EXP .
- etc.

Classical classes

- Logarithmic space L - ?
- Nondeterministic Logspace NL - STCON.
- Polynomial time P - CktVal.
- Nondeterministic Polytime NP - SAT.
- And much more to be inserted here.
- Polynomial space $PSPACE$ - QSAT, Games.
- Exponential time E/EXP - Succinct SAT, Chess.
- etc.

Basic results

- Time Hierarchy theorem.
- Space Hierarchy theorem.
- Blum's speedup theorem.
- Any one remembers exact form?
- Diagonalization - Tool #1 in proving absence of arrows.

Food for thought

- Given language in NP, can we decide if it is in P or not?
- Is every language in NP either in P or NP-complete?
- Is there a NTIME hierarchy theorem? What goes wrong with the usual proof?
- Is linear time a reasonable notion? How about nearly linear time?

Some other basic results

- $\text{Time}(t)$ in $\text{NTime}(t)$ in $\text{Space}(t)$ (actually can do a bit better)!
- $\text{Space}(s)$ in $\text{Time}(2^s)$.
- Technically harder results:
 - $\text{NSPACE}(s)$ in $\text{SPACE}(s^2)$.
 - $\text{NSPACE}(s)$ in $\text{coNSPACE}(O(s))$.
- Will prove above later today.

Big questions

$P = NP?$

1. Belief: $P \neq NP$.
2. Stronger belief: $NP \neq \text{co-NP}$.
3. Weaker beliefs:
 - (a) $P \neq \text{PSPACE}$.
 - (b) SAT not in L.
 - (c) SAT not in nearly Linear Time.
4. Another belief: $L \neq P$.

We know at least one of 3(a) or 4 is true!

Will show one more such statement (hopefully).

Rest of lecture

Quick Review of

- Savitch's theorem.
- Immerman-Szelepcsenyi theorem.

Savitch's theorem

Thm: For all space constructible $s(n) \geq \log n$, $\text{NSPACE}(s(n)) \subseteq \text{SPACE} s^2(n)$.

Simplifying assumptions:

- Suffices to consider the case $s = \log n$.
- Suffices to show that STCON can be solved in space $O(\log^2 n)$.
- STCON:
Given: Directed graph G , vertices s, t .
YES instances: There is a directed path from s to t in G .
- Suffices to let n be power of 2: $n = 2^k$.

STCON Algorithm

- Let A be the adjacency matrix of G .
- Suffices to compute A^n , where $A \cdot B$ denote Boolean matrix multiplication and $A^n = A \cdot A^{n-1}$.

Basic Lemma on Space

Basic Lemma: If $f, g : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^n$ can be computed in space s_1 and s_2 respectively, then $f \circ g : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^n$ can be computed in space $s_1 + s_2$ (no big-Ohs!).

Proof: Omitted.

Lemma: Given A , the matrix A^{2^ℓ} can be computed in space $\ell \log n$.

Proof: Induction using Basic Lemma.

Savitch's theorem follows.

Immerman-Szelepcsényi Theorem

Thm: For all space constructible $s(n) \geq \log n$, $\text{co-NSPACE}(s(n)) \subseteq \text{NSPACE} O(s(n))$.

Idea:

- Suffices to prove co-STCON in NL.
- Key quantities:

$$\Gamma_\ell(s) = \{v \in V \mid \exists \text{ path w. length } \leq \ell \text{ from } s \text{ to } v\}$$

$$\text{COUNT}(s, \ell) = |\Gamma_\ell(s)|$$

- Central subroutine: $\text{CHECK}(u, \ell, \text{COUNT})$.
Guarantee: If $\text{COUNT} = \text{COUNT}(s, \ell - 1)$, then $\text{OUTPUT} = \text{TRUE}$ iff there is no path from s to u of length $\leq \ell$.

NL=coNL: Proof

Lemma 1: co-STCON in NL if CHECK in NL.

Proof:

- Inductively, compute $COUNT(s, \ell)$ given $COUNT(s, \ell - 1)$ as follows:
 - Initialize $COUNT_{s, \ell} = 0$.
 - For each $u \in V$ guess if $v \in \Gamma_{\ell}(s)$.
 - If Guess=YES, verify the guess and increment $COUNT(s, \ell - 1)$.
 - If Guess=NO, use $CHECK(u, \ell, COUNT(s, \ell - 1))$ to verify guess.

NL=coNL: Proof (contd.)

Lemma 2: $CHECK(u, \ell, COUNT) \in NL$.

Algorithm:

- Initialize COUNT-SO-FAR = 0;
- For every $v \in V$ do:
 - Guess if $v \in \Gamma_{\ell-1}(s)$.
 - If Guess= NO, do nothing;
 - If Guess= YES, (1) verify guess, (2) increment COUNT-SO-FAR, and (3) verify (v, u) is not an edge.
- Verify COUNT-SO-FAR = COUNT.
- Return(TRUE).

“Verify COND” \equiv Abort if COND is FALSE.

Next lecture

- Relativization.
- Baker Gill Solovay theorem.
- ?