September 12, 2004

Lecture 2

Lecturer: Madhu Sudan

Scribe: Joshua A. Grochow

This lecture begins a brief introduction to the algebraic structures we will be using throughout the course – groups, rings, and fields – and some of their elementary properties. We recommend *Finite Fields and Their Applications* by Lidl and Niedereitter as a reference.

## 1 Groups

A group is one of the most basic algebraic structures, specified by a single binary operation and its properties:

**Definition 1** A group G consists of a set, usually also denoted G, and a binary operation  $\cdot : G \times G \rightarrow G$  satisfying the following properties:

- 1. Associativity: for all  $a, b, c \in G$ ,  $(a \cdot b) \cdot c = a \cdot (b \cdot c)$
- 2. Identity: there exists an identity element  $e \in G$  such that  $a \cdot e = e \cdot a = a$  for all  $a \in G$ .
- 3. Inverses: For each  $a \in G$ , there exists an element  $a^{-1}$  such that  $a^{-1} \cdot a = a \cdot a^{-1} = e$ .

A semigroup is defined similarly, but need not have inverses<sup>1</sup>.

A group or semigroup is called **Abelian** if in addition the operation is commutative:

4. For all  $a, b \in G$ ,  $a \cdot b = b \cdot a$ 

Typically the group operation  $\cdot$  is called "multiplication" and is omitted in notation: thus we write ab rather than  $a \cdot b$ . Generally if the group operation is denoted by addition +, it is assumed that the group is Abelian. When we wish to emphasize the group operation, we may write  $(G, \cdot)$ .

The standard Boolean algebra with the operation of  $\wedge$  is an example of a semigroup: 1 is the identity, but 0 does not have an inverse, since  $0 \wedge x = 0$  for any x.

The following are some useful properties of groups that are not difficult to prove. Let G be a group.

- Multiplication by any  $x \in G$  is injective: that is, ax = bx iff a = b.
- The equation  $ab = c, a, b, c \in G$  has a unique solution whenever any two of a, b, c are specified. In particular, the identity is unique, and inverses are unique.

The order of a group G is the number of elements of G, and is denoted |G|.

A subgroup H of a group G is a subset of G which is a group under the operation of G restricted to H. We write  $H \leq G$ . In particular, a subset  $H \subseteq G$  is a subgroup if it is closed under the operation of G.<sup>2</sup>

A (left) **coset** of a subgroup  $H \leq G$  is a set  $aH = \{ah|h \in H\}$ . Two (left) cosets aH and bH are either disjoint or equal. Since multiplication is injective, the cosets of H are the same size as H, and thus H partitions G into equal-sized parts. This leads to Lagrange's Theorem: |H| divides |G|.<sup>3</sup> We can now prove a generalized version of Fermat's Little Theorem:

**Theorem 2 (Fermat's Little Theorem)** <sup>4</sup> For every finite group G, for all  $a \in G$ ,  $a^{|G|} = e$ .

<sup>1</sup>Some authors also allow semigroups to lack an identity element, and call semigroups with identity **monoids**.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>When G is infinite, a subset H must also contain inverses to be a subgroup. When G is finite, closure under the operation of G provides inverses, since for all  $a \in G$ ,  $a^{-1} = a^n$  for some finite positive n.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>For those who know something about multiplication of infinite cardinals, this theorem holds when H and G are infinite as well.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Abstract group theory was not developed until well after Fermat's time. Fermat's Little Theorem was originally that  $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \mod p$  for all nonzero *a* in the integers modulo any prime *p*. Note that the nonzero integers modulo *p* form a multiplicative group of order p-1.

**Proof** Consider the subgroup H generated by a:  $H = \{a^i | i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . Since G is finite, the infinite sequence  $a^0 = e, a^1, a^2, a^3, \ldots$  must repeat, say  $a^i = a^j$ , i < j. Let k = j - i. Multiplying both sides by  $a^{-i} = (a^{-1})^i$ , we get  $a^{j-i} = a^k = e$ . Suppose k is the least positive integer for which this holds. Then  $H = \{a^0, a^1, a^2, \ldots, a^{k-1}\}$ , and thus |H| = k. By Lagrange's Theorem, k divides |G|, so  $a^{|G|} = (a^k)^{|G|/k} = e$ .

The order of  $a \in G$  is the least k such that  $a^k = e$ . This is consistent with the definition of order of a group, as the order of a is the order of the subgroup generated by a.

## 2 Rings and Fields

A ring is, in some sense, the next most basic algebraic structure, involving two related binary operations:

**Definition 3** A ring R consists of a set R and two binary operations + ("addition") and  $\cdot$  ("multiplication") on R satisfying:

- 1. (R, +) is an Abelian group with identity denoted 0.
- 2.  $(R, \cdot)$  is a semigroup with identity denoted 1. (Some authors do not require a ring to contain a multiplicative identity.)
- 3. Multiplication distributes over addition: a(b+c) = ab + ac and (b+c)a = ba + ca.

We will generally only be concerned with commutative rings, i.e. rings in which multiplication is commutative. The canonical example of a ring is the integers  $\mathbb{Z}$  under the standard operations of addition and multiplication.

**Definition 4** A *field* F is a commutative ring in which every non-zero element has a multiplicative inverse. Equivalently,  $(F - \{0\}, \cdot)$  is an Abelian group.

The rationals, the reals, and the complex numbers are all fields. The integers modulo p for prime p are also fields.

An integral domain is a ring in which ab = 0 implies a = 0 or b = 0. An example of a non-integral domain is the integers modulo n, where n is not prime: if n = pq is a nontrivial factorization of n, then  $pq \equiv 0$  modulo n, but neither p nor q is zero mod n. Square matrices are another example.

We will now prove two facts which make integral domains similar to fields.

Fact 5 Any finite integral domain R is a field.

We will prove this fact two different ways: the first is often used in abstract algebra textbooks, while the second lends itself to a slightly better algorithm for computing the inverse of an element.

**Proof** Let *a* be a nonzero element of *R*. Examine the products  $P = \{ba | b \in R\}$ . These are all distinct, as  $ba = ca \Rightarrow (b - c)a = 0 \Rightarrow b = c$ . Since *R* is finite, P = R, and thus there is some  $b \in R$  such that ba = 1.

**Proof** Let *a* be a nonzero element of *R*. Examine the powers of *a*. The sequence  $a^0, a^1, a^2, \ldots$  must repeat eventually since *R* is finite, say  $a^i = a^j$ , i < j. Then  $a^i(1 - a^{j-i}) = 0$ . Since *R* is an integral domain,  $a^i \neq 0$ , so  $1 - a^{j-i} = 0$ , and thus the inverse of *a* is given by  $a^{j-i-1}$ .

**Definition 6** The field of fractions  $\tilde{R}$  of an integral domain R is  $\{(a,b)|a, b \in R, b \neq 0\}$  modulo the equivalence  $(a,b) \sim (c,d)$  iff ad = bc, with addition and multiplication defined as follows:

$$(a,b) \cdot (c,d) = (ac,bd)$$
  
 $(a,b) + (c,d) = (ad + bc,bd)$ 

Note that  $\hat{R}$  is a field<sup>5</sup> containing R as the elements (a, 1).

Given a ring R, we now construct the ring R[x] of polynomials in one variable x with coefficients in the ring R. An element of R[x] is given by the coefficients of a polynomial  $(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_d)$  with  $a_i \in R$ . (We take these modulo the equivalence relation  $(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_d, 0, 0, \ldots, 0) \sim (a_0, \ldots, a_d)$ .) Addition of two such sequences is carried out component-wise, where one sequence may be extended by zeros on the right to match the length of the other sequence. Multiplication of two sequences is given by:

$$(a_0, \ldots, a_d) \cdot (b_0, \ldots, b_e) = (c_0, \ldots, c_{e+d})$$

where  $c_k = \sum_{i=0}^k a_i b_{k-i}$ . The polynomial ring R[x] often inherits many properties of R. Note that if R is an integral domain, then so is R[x].

A subring is a subset of a ring which is itself a ring, except that it need not contain the identity element. The subrings of  $\mathbb{Z}$  are of the form  $n\mathbb{Z} = \{nk | k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ .

**Definition 7** An ideal  $I \subseteq R$  is a subring with the additional property that  $a \in I$  implies  $ar \in I$  for any  $r \in R$ .

If an ideal  $I \subseteq R$  contains 1, then I = R. As an example, any subring of  $\mathbb{Z}$  is also an ideal (this is a very special property of the integers and does not hold in most rings).

Ideals are particularly nice subrings, because they allow for the following construction:

**Definition 8** Given a ring R and ideal I, the quotient ring R/I, read "R modulo I", is the set of cosets a+I of I as an additive subgroup of (R, +). Addition and multiplication are as expected: (a+I)+(b+I) =(a+b) + I and (a+I)(b+I) = ab + I.

In studying a ring, it is often useful to examine its quotient rings R/I, as they are usually simpler than R itself but may retain many of its properties. One fimilar example of this is when we examine the integers modulo n, which we may now write  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ . In particular, we have the Chinese Remainder Theorem (CRT). Over the integers, the CRT says that m modulo n is uniquely specified by m modulo  $p_i$  where  $\prod p_i = n$  and the  $p_i$  are relatively prime. We will now generalize this to rings and ideals.

Given two ideals  $I, J \subset R$ , we have that  $I \cap J$  and  $IJ = \{\sum r_i a_i b_i | r_i \in R, a_i \in I, b_i \in J\}$  are both ideals. While this last definition is somewhat unwieldy, note that it is the smallest ideal containing all elements of the form ab where  $a \in I$  and  $b \in J$ , since ideals must be closed under addition and multiplication by arbitrary ring elements. Note that  $IJ \subseteq I \cap J$ .

We will say that I and J are relatively prime if  $IJ = I \cap J$ . (Note that this indeed holds for the ideals  $n\mathbb{Z}$  and  $m\mathbb{Z}$  when n and m are relatively prime integers.) We can now state the more general form of the CRT:

**Theorem 9** (Chinese Remainder Theorem) If  $I_1, \ldots, I_k$  are relatively prime ideals of a ring R, then  $R/(\prod I_i) \cong (R/I_1) \times \cdots \times (R/I_k)$ .

The proof of this is not much more difficult than the proof of the CRT for the integers, and is left as an exercise.

## 3 **Factorization**

**Definition 10** An element  $a \in R$  is called a **unit** if a has a multiplicative inverse in R.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>A similar construction can be defined for non-integral domains R, but the details are a bit more complicated, and the resulting structure will not be a field.

**Definition 11** A ring R is a factorization domain if given any non-unit  $a \in R$ , there exists a positive integer d such that any factorization of a into non-units  $a_1, \ldots, a_k$  (that is,  $a = a_1a_2\cdots a_k$ ) has  $k \leq d$ . (This is not a standard definition.)

**Definition 12** An element  $a \in R$  is *irreducible* if a = pq implies that one of p or q is a unit.

**Definition 13** A ring R is a unique factorization domain, or UFD, if every element of  $a \in R$  may be factored uniquely into irreducibles. This uniqueness is taken up to re-ordering and multiplication by units: if  $p_i$  and  $q_i$  are irreducible, and  $a = p_1 \cdots p_d = q_1 \cdots q_e$ , then e = d and there is some permutation  $\pi$  such that  $p_i = u_i q_{\pi i}$  for some units  $u_i$ ,  $1 \le i \le d$ .

The integers are a UFD.

As an example of a factorization domain which is not a UFD, we adjoin the square root of 5 to  $\mathbb{Z}$ :  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}] = \{a + b\sqrt{5} | a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . Then we have  $4 = 2 \cdot 2 = (\sqrt{5} + 1)(\sqrt{5} - 1)$ . For this to be a valid example, you must verify that 2 and  $\sqrt{5} \pm 1$  are irreducible in  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}]$ .

As an example of a ring which is not even a factorization domain, we adjoin the *n*-th roots of 2 to the integers for all positive *n*. Then we have  $\mathbb{Z}[2^{1/2}, 2^{1/3}, 2^{1/4}, \ldots]$ . Suppose this were a factorization domain, and let *d* be the bound on the length of factorizations of 2. Let n = d + 1. Then we can factor 2 as  $2 = 2^{1/n} \cdot 2^{1/n} \cdots 2^{1/n}$ , which has n > d non-unit factors. Thus no such *d* exists, and this is not a factorization domain.

Finally, as a claim which we will prove next time, if R is a UFD, then so is the polynomial ring R[x].